EXERCISE 7: Observation Sensitivity

Introduction

During Lecture 5, we also showed how the sensitivity of scalar functions of the circulation to variations in the observations and observation array can be computed using the adjoint of 4D-Var. Specifically, the *posterior* vector of control increments can be written as:

$$\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{b}} + \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{d})$$

where $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{y} - H(\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{b}}(t))$ is the innovation vector, and $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{d})$ denotes the 4D-Var procedure which is a nonlinear function of \mathbf{d} by virtue of the nature of the conjugate gradient algorithm. Any change $\delta \mathbf{y}$ in the observations leads to a first order change $\delta \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{a}} \approx (\partial \mathcal{K} / \partial \mathbf{y}) \delta \mathbf{y}$ in the *posterior* control vector. Considering again the time average transport across 37N over the upper 500 m, I_{37N} , as an example of linear scalar function, the transport change ΔI due to perturbations $\delta \mathbf{y}$ in the observations is given by:

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{I} \approx \delta \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}} / \partial \mathbf{y} \right)^{\mathrm{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{h}$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{h}$ represents ADROMS forced by \mathbf{h} , and $(\partial \mathcal{K}/\partial \mathbf{y})^{\mathrm{T}}$ represents the adjoint of the linearized 4D-Var procedure, denoted $(4\text{D}-\text{Var})^{\mathrm{T}}$. Once a 4D-Var cycle has been performed, ΔI can be computed by running $(4\text{D}-\text{Var})^{\mathrm{T}}$. In a nutshell, $(4\text{D}-\text{Var})^{\mathrm{T}}$ involves running the each of the linearized 4D-Var inner-loops again, but in reverse order.

Running the observation impact driver

To compute the sensitivity of I_{37N} to changes in the observations, you must first perform a 4D-Var data assimilation calculation using R4D-Var or 4D-PSAS. Therefore, before running this exercise, choose either your strong constraint R4D-Var or 4D-PSAS calculation of Exercise 3, depending on which case you ran.

- If you selected R4D-Var, then before running the observation sensitivity driver, copy the file WC13/R4DVAR/EX3/wc13_mod.nc into WC13/R4DVAR.
- If you selected 4D-PSAS, then before running the observation sensitivity driver, copy the file WC13/PSAS/EX3/wc13_mod.nc into WC13/PSAS.

Then go first to the directory WC13/R4DVAR_sensitivity or WC13/PSAS_sensitivity, as appropriate, and follow the directions in the **Readme** file. Also, be sure to change *Ninner* in **ocean_wc13.in** to be the same as you used for Exercise 3 if in that case you chose *Ninner*<50. Note that $(4D-Var)^{T}$ requires the same amount of computational effort as 4D-Var.

Plotting your results

To plot the results of your observation sensitivity calculation, use plot_r4dvar_sensitivity.m, or plot_psas_sensitivity.m as appropriate. In either case,

you will need to edit the pathname to point to R4DVAR/EX3 or PSAS/EX3 as appropriate.

The plots that are generated correspond to the case where $\delta \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{d}$. In practice, $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{d})$ is a weakly nonlinear function of \mathbf{d} , so in this case $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{d} + \delta \mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{K}(2\mathbf{d}) \approx 2\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{d})$, so that:

$$\Delta I \approx \mathbf{d}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(\partial \mathcal{K} / \partial \mathbf{y} \right)^{\mathrm{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{h} \approx I(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}}) - I(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{b}})$$

where $I(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{x}}) - I(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{b}})$ is the transport increment of Exercise 5. Therefore, for $\delta \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{d}$, we expect ΔI to the same as that of Exercise 5 which you can confirm by comparing the plots of this exercise with those of Exercise 5. However, the contributions of the individual observation platforms to ΔI and $I(\mathbf{x}_{a}) - I(\mathbf{x}_{b})$ will not be the same (there is no *a priori* reason why they should be), as you can also confirm. In the present case, ΔI is the change that would occur in I_{37N} if each observation y_i was changed by an amount equal to the corresponding increment d_i . Conversely, if $\delta \mathbf{v} = -\mathbf{d}$ instead, this is equivalent to changing each observation v_i by an amount $-d_i$. The effect of this in 4D-Var would be to yield a new innovation vector with all elements being zero, corresponding to perfect agreement between the model and the observations. If instead of considering $\delta \mathbf{y} = -\mathbf{d}$ we consider $\delta y_i = -d_i$ for only some of the observations (e.g. SSH) this would be equivalent to imposing perfect agreement between these observations and the model. In Lecture 5 we showed that this is a very efficient way of performing observation simulation experiments without rerunning the 4D-Var cycle with observations withheld. Therefore, if you *reverse* the sign of the colored bars in the plots for this exercise you can predict what the change in transport will be if observations from each platform are withheld separately or in combination.